

LIGHTLIKE HYPERSURFACES ON MANIFOLDS ENDOWED WITH A CONFORMAL STRUCTURE OF LORENTZIAN SIGNATURE¹

M.A. Akivis and V.V. Goldberg

Abstract. The authors study the geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces on manifolds (M, c) endowed with a pseudoconformal structure $c = CO(n-1, 1)$ of Lorentzian signature. Such hypersurfaces are of interest in general relativity since they can be models of different types of physical horizons. On a lightlike hypersurface, the authors consider the fibration of isotropic geodesics and investigate their singular points and singular submanifolds. They construct a conformally invariant normalization of a lightlike hypersurface intrinsically connected with its geometry and investigate affine connections induced by this normalization. The authors also consider special classes of lightlike hypersurfaces. In particular, they investigate lightlike hypersurfaces for which the elements of the constructed normalization are integrable.

0 Introduction

The pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (M, g) of Lorentzian signature play a special role in geometry and physics: they generate models of spacetime of general relativity. In the tangent space T_x at a point x of such a manifold, a real isotropic cone C_x is invariantly defined. From physical point of view, this cone is the light cone—the trajectories of light impulses emanating from the point x are tangent to the cone C_x .

A hypersurface V^{n-1} on an n -dimensional manifold M of Lorentzian signature that is tangent to the cone C_x at each point $x \in V$ is called lightlike. The lightlike hypersurfaces are also of interest for general relativity since they produce models of different type of horizons (event horizons, Cauchy's horizons, Kruskal's horizons— see, for example, [Ch 83] and [MTW 73]). Lightlike hypersurfaces are also studied in the theory of electromagnetism. This is the reason that there are many papers and two recent books [DB 96] and [Ku 96] in which lightlike hypersurfaces are investigated.

Many events and objects of general relativity are invariant under conformal transformations of a metric (see [AG 96], Ch. 4 and Ch. 5). In particular, a lightlike hypersurface is an example of the objects that are invariant under conformal transformations of a metric. Hence it is appropriate to study

¹1991 MS classification: 53A30, 53B25.

Keywords and phrases: Pseudoconformal structure, Lorentzian signature, lightlike hypersurface, isotropic geodesics, singular point, invariant normalization, affine connection.

lightlike hypersurfaces not only on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of Lorentzian signature but also on a manifold endowed with a conformal structure of Lorentzian signature.

In the present paper we study lightlike hypersurfaces on a differentiable manifold M^n endowed with a pseudoconformal structure $CO(n-1, 1)$ of Lorentzian signature. We will denote such manifolds by (M, c) where $\dim M = n$ and $c = CO(n-1, 1)$ is a conformal structure of signature $(n-1, 1)$.

Let us describe the contents of the paper. In Section 1 we write the basic equations of the manifold (M, c) and consider pseudoconformal spaces $(C_1^n)_x$ of Lorentzian signature tangent to the manifold (M, c) at its point x . It appeared that it is very convenient to use the Darboux representation of a space $(C_1^n)_x$ as a hyperquadric $(Q_1^n)_x$ of a projective space P^{n+1} .

As we proved in [AG 96] (see also [AG 97]), the isotropic geodesics of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) are invariant under conformal transformations of a metric. Thus they can be considered on a manifold (M, c) endowed with a pseudoconformal structure. Under the development of the manifold (M, c) onto a hyperquadric $(Q_1^n)_x$ along an isotropic geodesic, the latter is mapped into a rectilinear generator of the hyperquadric $(Q_1^n)_x$.

In Section 2 we consider differential geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces $V^{n-1} \subset (M, c)$. In this section we construct a first-order frame bundle associated with V^{n-1} ; define a screen distribution S (see [DB 96]) and a field N of normalizing isotropic straight lines that is conjugate to S ; write the basic equations of lightlike hypersurfaces and prove the existence theorem for such hypersurfaces; prove that a lightlike hypersurface carries $(n-1)$ -parameter family of isotropic geodesics each of which possesses $n-2$ real singular points if each of them is counted as many times as its multiplicity; and prove that under the development of the hypersurface V^{n-1} onto a hyperquadric $(Q_1^n)_x$ along an isotropic geodesic l , to V^{n-1} there corresponds a ruled hypersurface which has the same tangent subspace at all regular points of its rectilinear generator.

In Section 3 we introduce the basic geometric objects and tensors defined in a second-order neighborhood of a point of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} as well as geometric images associated with these objects and tensors. In particular, on each isotropic geodesic l of the hypersurface V^{n-1} we construct the harmonic pole H of the point $x \in l \subset V^{n-1}$ with respect to singular points of the generator l .

In studying submanifolds on a manifold M endowed with a differential geometric structure defined by a group G , one of the most important problems is a construction of an invariant normalization and an affine connection intrinsically connected with the geometry of a submanifold in question (see [La 53], [No 76], [AG 93, 96]). In some simple cases such a normalization and a connection are defined in a first-order neighborhood. This is the case for submanifolds of the Riemannian manifold and for spacelike and timelike submanifolds of the pseudo-Riemannian manifold. However, for lightlike hypersurfaces of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) as well as of a manifold (M, c) endowed with a

pseudoconformal structure, for constructing such a normalization and an affine connection elements of higher order differential neighborhoods are needed.

In Section 4 we give a geometric construction (called normalization) defining an affine connection on $V^{n-1} \subset (M, c)$. However, the main purpose of this paper is a construction of an invariant normalization of V^{n-1} and an affine connection induced by this normalization. A solution of this problem is presented in Sections 5 and 6. In Section 5 we construct geometric objects defined in a third-order neighborhood of $x \in V^{n-1}$ and apply them to construct a screen distribution S , whose elements are subspaces $S_x \subset T_x(V^{n-1})$ passing through the point x , and a complementary screen distribution $\tilde{S}$, whose elements are subspaces $\tilde{S}_H$ passing through the harmonic pole H of the point x . The above construction can be done provided that two affinors are nondegenerate on V^{n-1} . One of these affinors is defined in a second-order neighborhood of $x \in V^{n-1}$, and the second one in a third-order neighborhood of $x \in V^{n-1}$.

Under the same assumptions in Section 6 we construct a one-component geometric object which is defined in a fourth-order neighborhood of $x \in V^{n-1}$ and determines a point C_n on a normalizing isotropic straight line. All these geometric objects are intrinsically defined by the geometry of V^{n-1} . A geometric meaning of the geometric images associated with the constructed objects is also found. The invariant normalization of V^{n-1} we have constructed induces a torsion-free affine connection γ_1 whose fundamental group is the group $G_1 = GL(n-1, \mathbf{R})$. The curvature tensor of this connection is expressed in terms of elements of a fifth-order neighborhood of $x \in V^{n-1}$.

In Section 7 we investigate the problem of integrability of the screen distributions S and $\tilde{S}$. We prove that they are simultaneously integrable or simultaneously nonintegrable and find conditions of their integrability. If the distributions S and $\tilde{S}$ are integrable, then the congruence of normalizing isotropic straight lines $\tilde{l}$ is stratified into a one-parameter family of lightlike hypersurfaces.

Finally in Section 7, in addition to the torsion-free affine connection γ_1 induced by the constructed invariant normalization, we find another affine connection γ_2 whose fundamental group G_2 is isomorphic to the group $\mathbf{R}^+ \times GL(n-2, \mathbf{R})$. The connection γ_2 is not torsion-free and defined by elements a third-order neighborhood of $x \in V^{n-1}$. The torsion and curvature tensors of this connection are expressed in terms of elements of a fourth-order neighborhood of $x \in V^{n-1}$.

Note that the problem of construction of an invariant normalization and an invariant affine connection for a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of Lorentzian signature (for definition see [ON 83]) was considered by many authors (see [DB 96], Ch. 4). However, as far as we know, an invariant normalization and an affine connection intrinsically connected with the geometry of V^{n-1} were not considered in these papers.

As to a construction of invariant normalization and an invariant affine connection for a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} on a manifold (M, c) endowed with a

pseudoconformal structure of Lorentzian signature, such a construction is given in the present paper for the first time.

The methods developed in the present paper and the results obtained in it can be used to study lightlike hypersurfaces in the pseudo-Riemannian space (M, g) of Lorentzian signature, the pseudoconformal space C_1^n , and the Minkowski space R_1^n .

The present paper is related to our papers [AG 98a, b, c].

1 Basic equations of a manifold endowed with a conformal structure of Lorentzian signature

1. A pseudoconformal structure $CO(n-1, 1)$ on a manifold M of dimension n is a set of conformally equivalent pseudo-Riemannian metrics with the same signature $(n-1, 1)$. Such a structure is called *conformally Lorentzian*.

A metric g can be given on M by means of a nondegenerate quadratic form

$$g = g_{ij} du^i du^j,$$

where u^i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are curvilinear coordinates on M , and g_{ij} are the components of the metric tensor g . On a conformal structure the quadratic form g is relatively invariant.

The equation $g = 0$ defines in the tangent space $T_x(M)$ a cone C_x of second order called the *isotropic cone*. Thus the conformal structure can be given on the manifold M by a field of cones of second order.

The cone $C_x \subset T_x(M)$ remains invariant under transformations of the group

$$G = \mathbf{SO}(n-1, 1) \times \mathbf{H},$$

where $\mathbf{SO}(n-1, 1)$ is the special n -dimensional pseudoorthogonal group of signature $(n-1, 1)$ (the connected component of the unity of the pseudoorthogonal group $\mathbf{O}(n-1, 1)$), and $\mathbf{H}$ is the group of homotheties. Note that the group G acts in the tangent space $T_x(M)$. Thus the pseudoconformal structure $CO(n-1, 1)$ is a G -structure defined on the manifold M by the group G indicated above. For the pseudoconformal structure $CO(n-1, 1)$ the isotropic cone is real. Note that pseudo-Riemannian structures of arbitrary signature were studied in the book [ON 83] (they were called there "semi-Riemannian").

2. We consider the manifold M , associate with any point $x \in M$ its tangent space $T_x(M)$, and define the frame bundle whose base is the manifold M and the fibers are the families of vectorial frames $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in $T_x(M)$ defined up to a transformation of the general linear group $\mathbf{GL}(n)$. The frames indicated above are called the *frames of first order*. They form the first-order frame bundle which we will denote by $\mathcal{R}^1(M)$. Let us denote by $\{\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n\}$ the co-frame dual to the frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$:

$$\omega^i(e_j) = \delta_j^i.$$

Then an arbitrary vector $\xi \in T_x(M)$ can be written as

$$\xi = \omega^i(\xi)e_i.$$

The forms ω^i can be considered as differential forms on the manifold M if we assume that $\xi = dx$ is the differential of the point $x \in M$. Thus the quadratic form g can be written as

$$g = g_{ij}\omega^i\omega^j. \quad (1)$$

3. The structure equations of the $CO(n-1,1)$ -structure can be reduced to the following form (see [AG 96], Section 4.1):

$$d\omega^i = \omega_0^0 \wedge \omega^i + \omega^j \wedge \omega_j^i, \quad (2)$$

$$d\omega_0^0 = \omega^i \wedge \omega_i^0, \quad (3)$$

$$d\omega_j^i = \omega_j^0 \wedge \omega^i + \omega_j^k \wedge \omega_k^i + g_{jk}\omega^k \wedge g^{il}\omega_l^0 + C_{jkl}^i\omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \quad (4)$$

$$d\omega_i^0 = \omega_i^0 \wedge \omega_0^0 + \omega_i^j \wedge \omega_j^0 + C_{ijk}\omega^j \wedge \omega^k. \quad (5)$$

and the metric tensor g_{ij} satisfies the equations

$$dg_{ij} - g_{ik}\omega_j^k - g_{kj}\omega_i^k = 0. \quad (6)$$

Note that in equations (2)–(6) the forms ω^i are defined in a first-order frame bundle, the 1-forms ω_j^i and a scalar 1-form ω_0^0 in a second-order frame bundle, and a covector form ω_i^0 in the third-order frame bundle.

For $C_{jkl}^i = C_{ijk} = 0$, equations (2)–(6) coincide with the structure equations the pseudoconformal space C_1^n . For this reason the object $\{C_{jkl}^i, C_{ijk}\}$ is called the *curvature object of the pseudoconformal structure* $CO(n-1,1)$.

The quantities C_{jkl}^i form a $(1,3)$ -tensor which is called the *Weyl tensor* or the *tensor of conformal curvature* of the structure $CO(n-1,1)$.

Consider also the covariant tensor of conformal curvature

$$C_{ijkl} = g_{im}C_{jkl}^m. \quad (7)$$

This tensor allows us to write relations between the components of the tensor of conformal curvature in more convenient form:

$$\begin{cases} C_{ijkl} = -C_{jikl} = -C_{ijlk}, & C_{ijkl} = C_{klij}, \\ C_{ijkl} + C_{iklj} + C_{iljk} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

In addition, the tensor C_{jkl}^i is trace-free:

$$C_{jki}^i = 0. \quad (9)$$

(see, for example, [AG 96], Section 4.1).

The quantities C_{ijk} , that do not form a tensor, satisfy the conditions

$$C_{ijk} = -C_{ikj}. \quad (10)$$

Note also that the tensor of conformal curvature C_{jkl}^i is defined in a third-order neighborhood of the structure $CO(n-1, 1)$, and the quantities C_{ijk} are defined in its fourth-order neighborhood. The $CO(n-1, 1)$ -structure itself is a G -structure of finite type two (see [AG 96], Section 4.1). For $n \geq 4$, the condition $C_{jkl}^i = 0$ is necessary and sufficient for a manifold (M, c) to be conformally flat (see [AG 96], Section 4.1).

4. The 1-forms $\omega^i = \omega_0^i$, ω_0^0 , ω_j^i , and ω_i^0 defined by the $CO(n-1, 1)$ -structure on the manifold M can be taken as components of infinitesimal displacement of a frame in the pseudoconformal space C_1^n . A conformal frame consists of two points A_0 and A_{n+1} and n hyperspheres A_i passing through A_0 and A_{n+1} . The scalar products of the elements of this frame can be written as

$$\begin{cases} (A_0, A_0) = (A_{n+1}, A_{n+1}) = 0, & (A_0, A_{n+1}) = -1, \\ (A_0, A_i) = (A_{n+1}, A_i) = 0, & (A_i, A_j) = g_{ij} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The equations of infinitesimal displacement of this frame have the form

$$\begin{cases} dA_0 = \omega_0^0 A_0 + \omega_0^i A_i, \\ dA_i = \omega_i^0 A_0 + \omega_i^j A_j + \omega_i^{n+1} A_{n+1}, \\ dA_{n+1} = \omega_{n+1}^i A_i + \omega_{n+1}^{n+1} A_{n+1}, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\omega_i^{n+1} = g_{ij} \omega_0^j, \quad \omega_{n+1}^i = g^{ij} \omega_j^0, \quad \omega_{n+1}^{n+1} = -\omega_0^0,$$

and g^{ij} is the inverse tensor of the tensor g_{ij} . In addition, the forms ω_j^i satisfy the system of equations (6). The family of frames in question forms a bundle of first-order conformal frames associated with the pseudoconformal structure (M, c) .

Equations (12) are completely integrable if and only if the tensor of conformal curvature of the $CO(n-1, 1)$ -structure vanishes. Then these equations define a fiber bundle in the whole space C_1^n . If the tensor of conformal curvature does not vanish, the system (12) can be integrated along any smooth curve $x = x(t)$ belonging to the manifold M . A solution of this system defines a *development* of this line and the frame bundle along it on the conformal space C_1^n . Moreover, if x_1 and x_2 are two points of the manifold M , and l_1 and l_2 are two smooth curves joining these points, then under integration of equations (12) along these curves, for the same initial conditions at the point x_1 , we obtain different results at the point x_2 . The difference of these two results is defined by the curvature of the pseudoconformal structure $CO(n-1, 1)$ (see [Car 23] and also [AG 96]).

For study of conformal structures it is convenient to use *Darboux mapping*. Under the Darboux mapping to the conformal space C_1^n there corresponds a

hyperquadric Q_1^n of a projective space P^{n+1} ; to the points A_0 and A_{n+1} there correspond points of the hyperquadric Q_1^n not belonging to a rectilinear generator of Q_1^n ; and to the hyperspheres A_i there correspond points of the space P^{n+1} belonging to the intersection of the hyperplanes $T_x(Q_1^n)$ and $T_y(Q_1^n)$ tangent to the hyperquadric Q_1^n at its points $x = A_0$ and $y = A_{n+1}$ (see Figure 1). We will denote the elements of a projective frame by the same letters which we used for the corresponding elements of a conformal frame. The equations of infinitesimal displacement of the projective frame in question have the same form (12) as the equations of infinitesimal displacement of the corresponding conformal frame.

Figure 1

The equation of the hyperquadric Q_1^n with respect to the projective frames in question has the form:

$$(x, x) = g_{ij}x^i x^j - 2x^0 x^n = 0. \quad (13)$$

The quadratic form $g = g_{ij}x^i x^j$ is of signature $(n-1, 1)$, and the equation $g_{ij}x^i x^j = 0$ defines the isotropic cone C_x with the vertex at $x = A_0$ on the hyperquadric Q_1^n . This cone carries an $(n-2)$ -parameter family of rectilinear generators corresponding to the isotropic lines of the space C_1^n .

For $\omega^i = 0$, equations (12) determine an admissible transformation of frames in the pseudoconformal space $(C_1^n)_x$ that is tangent to a manifold (M, c) endowed a pseudoconformal structure $CO(n-1, 1)$ at a point x .

2 Geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces of a manifold endowed with a conformal structure of Lorentzian signature

1. In this paper we consider a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 4$ endowed with a $CO(n-1, 1)$ -structure of Lorentzian signature

$(n-1, 1)$. A *lightlike hypersurface* V^{n-1} on such a manifold is a hypersurface which is tangent to the isotropic cone C_x at each point $x \in V^{n-1}$.

Let $T_x(V^{n-1})$ be a tangent subspace to V^{n-1} at a point x . In $T_x(M)$ we choose a projective frame such that $x = A_0$; the point A_1 belongs to the isotropic generator of the cone C_x along which the subspace $T_x(V^{n-1})$ is tangent to C_x ; the point A_n also belongs to a rectilinear generator of the cone C_x that does not belong to the subspace $T_x(V^{n-1})$; and we place the points $A_a, a = 2, \dots, n-1$, into the $(n-2)$ -dimensional intersection of the subspace $T_x(V^{n-1})$ and the subspace $T_{A_0 A_n}(C_x)$ tangent to C_x along $A_0 A_n$. Then the scalar products of these points can be written as

$$\begin{cases} (A_1, A_1) = (A_n, A_n) = 0, & (A_1, A_a) = (A_n, A_a) = 0, \\ (A_a, A_b) = g_{ab}, & (A_1, A_n) = -1, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where $a, b = 2, \dots, n-1$. The last relation in (14) is a result of an appropriate normalization of the points A_0 and A_n . The frames we have constructed are first-order frames associated with a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} (see Figure 2).

Figure 2

The isotropic straight lines $N_x = A_0 \wedge A_n$ are called the *normalizing straight lines* of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} , and the subspaces $S_x = A_0 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n-1}$ belonging to $T_x(V^{n-1})$ are called the *screen subspaces* of V^{n-1} . *There exists a bijective correspondence between the fields N of normalizing straight lines N_x of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} and its screen distributions S of screen subspaces S_x .*

A normalizing field N on a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} can be given with a big arbitrariness. One of the goals of the present paper is to find a method of

construction of a normal field N , and along with this field also a screen distribution S both intrinsically connected with the geometry of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} .

With respect to the projective moving frame chosen in the tangent space $T_x(M)$, the fundamental form g of M has the expression

$$g = g_{ab}\omega^a\omega^b - 2\omega^1\omega^n, \quad a, b = 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (15)$$

and the isotropic cone C_x is determined by the equation $g = 0$.

Now the components g_{ij} of the tensor g are the entries of the following matrix:

$$(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & g_{ab} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where (g_{ab}) is a nondegenerate positive definite matrix. Equations (6) and (16) imply that

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1^n = \omega_n^1 = 0, & \omega_1^1 = -\omega_n^n, \\ \omega_a^1 = g_{ab}\omega_n^b, & \omega_a^n = g_{ab}\omega_1^b, \\ dg_{ab} - g_{ac}\omega_b^c - g_{cb}\omega_a^c = 0. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Since the points A_1 and A_a of the frame $\{A_0, A_1, A_a, A_n\}$ of $T_x(V^{n-1})$ belong to the tangent subspace $T_x(V^{n-1})$, we have

$$dA_0 = \omega_0^0 A_0 + \omega_0^1 A_1 + \omega_0^a A_a.$$

This means that the hypersurface V^{n-1} is defined by the following Pfaffian equation

$$\omega_0^n = 0, \quad (18)$$

and the forms ω^1 and ω^a , $a = 2, \dots, n-1$, are basis forms of the hypersurface V^{n-1} .

The quadratic form $\tilde{g}$ defining the conformal structure on V^{n-1} has the form

$$\tilde{g} = g_{ab}\omega^a\omega^b$$

and it is of signature $(n-2, 0)$, that is, the form $\tilde{g}$ is positive semidefinite on V^{n-1} .

Taking exterior derivative of equation (18) by means of (2), we obtain the exterior quadratic equation

$$\omega^a \wedge \omega_a^n = 0. \quad (19)$$

Applying Cartan's lemma to this equation, we find that

$$\omega_a^n = \lambda_{ab}\omega^b, \quad \lambda_{ab} = \lambda_{ba}. \quad (20)$$

The quantities λ_{ab} are defined in a second-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$. It follows from equations (17) and (20) that

$$\omega_1^a = \lambda_b^a \omega^b, \quad (21)$$

where $\lambda_b^a = g^{ac} \lambda_{cb}$, and g^{ab} is the inverse tensor of the tensor g_{ab} .

Let us prove the existence theorem for lightlike hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1 *Lightlike hypersurfaces on a manifold (M, c) exist, and the solution of a system defining such hypersurfaces depends on one function of $n - 2$ variables.*

Proof. The hypersurfaces in question are defined by equation (18) whose exterior differentiation leads to exterior quadratic equation (19). Equation (19) contains only the basis forms ω^a and does not contain the form ω^1 . This implies that for proving the existence we must consider only the forms ω^a as basis forms of an integral manifold. The number of these forms is $n - 2$. If we apply to equation (19) the Cartan test (see [BCGGG 91] or [AG 93], pp. 12–13), we find that the characters of this equation are $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_{n-2} = 1$, and the Cartan number is $Q = s_1 + 2s_2 + \dots + (n - 2)s_{n-2} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$. A general integral element of equation (19) depends on N arbitrary parameters, where N is the number of independent coefficients λ_{ab} in equations (20). Since $\lambda_{ab} = \lambda_{ba}$, we have $N = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$. Thus we have $N = Q$. This proves Theorem 1. ■

An *isotropic geodesic* on the manifold (M, g) is a geodesic that is tangent to the isotropic cone C_x at each of its points x .

As was proved in [AG 96] (see also [AG 97]), isotropic geodesics are invariant with respect to a conformal transformation of the metric g . We will prove now the following theorem:

Theorem 2 *A lightlike hypersurface $V^{n-1} \subset M(c)$ carries a foliation formed by isotropic geodesics.*

Proof. Since the straight lines $A_0 A_1$ are tangent to isotropic lines on a hypersurface V^{n-1} , the equations of the isotropic foliation on V^{n-1} have the form

$$\omega^a = 0. \quad (22)$$

Let us prove that the curves belonging to this foliation are isotropic geodesics. It is known (see [AG 96], Section 4.2) that the equations of geodesics in any of pseudo-Riemannian metrics compatible with the $CO(n - 1, 1)$ -structure can be written as

$$d\omega^i + \omega^j \omega_j^i = \alpha \omega^i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad (23)$$

where α is a 1-form, and d is the symbol of ordinary (not exterior) differentiation. In our moving frame equations (23) take the form

$$\begin{cases} d\omega^1 + \omega^1\omega_1^1 + \omega^a\omega_a^1 + \omega^n\omega_n^1 = \alpha\omega^1, \\ d\omega^a + \omega^1\omega_1^a + \omega^b\omega_b^a + \omega^n\omega_n^a = \alpha\omega^a, \quad a, b = 2, \dots, n-1, \\ d\omega^n + \omega^1\omega_1^n + \omega^b\omega_b^n + \omega^n\omega_n^n = \alpha\omega^n. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

By means of equations (18) and (21), which are valid on V^{n-1} , and equation (22) defining the isotropic foliation on V^{n-1} , the last two equations (24) are identically satisfied, and the remaining first equation determines $d\omega^1$ on a geodesic. $\blacksquare$

Note that for lightlike hypersurfaces of a pseudo-Riemannian space, a similar result in a slightly different terminology is given in [DB 96], p. 86.

2. Consider the development of isotropic geodesics of a hypersurface V^{n-1} defined by equation (22) on the hyperquadric Q_1^n . By means of (18) and (22), it follows from equations (12) that

$$dA_0 = \omega_0^0 A_0 + \omega_0^1 A_1, \quad dA_1 = \omega_1^0 A_0 + \omega_1^1 A_1.$$

These equations prove that under the development, to the isotropic geodesics defined by equation (22) there corresponds an open part of the rectilinear generator $A_0 A_1$ of the hyperquadric Q_1^n . We assume that *the isotropic geodesics of the hypersurface V^{n-1} are prolonged in such a way that they are mapped onto the entire rectilinear generator $A_0 A_1$ which is a projective straight line l .* From equation (22) it follows also that the family of isotropic geodesics on a hypersurface V^{n-1} depends on $n-2$ parameters, and the forms ω^a are independent linear combinations of differentials of these parameters. This implies the following theorem:

Theorem 3 *A lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a differential manifold M , $\dim M = n$, endowed with a pseudoconformal structure $CO(n-1, 1)$ is the image of the product $M^{n-2} \times l$, where l is a projective straight line, under a mapping f of this product onto the manifold M , $V^{n-1} = f(M^{n-2} \times l)$.*

Note also that since the isotropic geodesics of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} are the images of projective straight lines, then one can introduce projective coordinates both homogeneous and nonhomogeneous. In what follows we will use this remark.

Consider a displacement of the isotropic geodesic $l = A_0 A_1$ on a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} . From equations (12), (17), and (18) it follows that

$$\begin{cases} dA_0 = \omega_0^0 A_0 + \omega_0^1 A_1 + \omega_0^a A_a, \\ dA_1 = \omega_1^0 A_0 + \omega_1^1 A_1 + \omega_1^a A_a, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where the forms ω_1^a have expressions (21). Consider a point $Z = A_1 - sA_0$ on the straight line l . From equations (25) and (21) it follows that

$$dZ \equiv (\lambda_b^a - s\delta_b^a)\omega^b A_a \pmod{A_0, A_1}. \quad (26)$$

The matrix $(J_b^a) = (\lambda_b^a - s\delta_b^a)$ is the Jacobi matrix of the mapping f , and its determinant,

$$J = \det(\lambda_b^a - s\delta_b^a)$$

is the Jacobian of this mapping.

Since the quasiaffinor $\lambda_b^a = g^{ac}\lambda_{cb}$ is symmetric, its characteristic equation

$$\det(\lambda_b^a - s\delta_b^a) = 0 \quad (27)$$

has $n - 2$ real roots if each of them is counted as many times as its multiplicity. This implies the following theorem.

Theorem 4 *Any isotropic geodesic l of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a manifold M endowed with a pseudoconformal structure of Lorentzian signature carries $n - 2$ real singular points if each of them is counted as many times as its multiplicity.*

Proof. The tangent subspace to a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} at a point Z is a subspace of the space $T_x(M)$. By (25) and (26), this subspace is determined by the point Z, A_1 , and $C_b = (\lambda_b^a - s\delta_b^a)A_a$. If the Jacobian J is different from 0, then these points are linearly independent and determine the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional tangent subspace $T_Z(V^{n-1})$. In this case a point Z is a regular point of the hypersurface V^{n-1} . If at a point $Z \in A_0A_1$ the Jacobian J is equal to 0, then at this point $\dim T_Z(V^{n-1}) < n - 1$, and this point is a singular point of V^{n-1} . The coordinates s of these singular points can be found from equation (27) which has $n - 2$ real roots. ■

It is obvious that the point $x = A_0$ is a regular point of the straight line l .

Denote by s_a the roots of equation (27). Then the singular points of the isotropic geodesic l have the expressions

$$F_a = A_1 - s_a A_0. \quad (28)$$

In the paper [AG 98b], for a lightlike hypersurface of a pseudo-Riemannian de Sitter space we investigated the structure of these singular points and the structure of V^{n-1} itself taking into account multiplicities of singular points. Many of the results of [AG 98b] are still valid for a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} on a manifold endowed with a pseudoconformal structure.

One more important property of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a space with a pseudoconformal structure follows from our considerations. This property is described in the following theorem.

Theorem 5 *Under the development of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a manifold M endowed with a pseudoconformal structure of Lorentzian signature onto a hyperquadric $Q_1^n \subset P^{n+1}$ along its isotropic geodesic l , to the tangent hyperplanes $T_Z(V^{n-1})$ at regular points Z of the line l , there corresponds a unique subspace T_l of dimension $n - 1$ that is tangent to the hyperquadric Q_1^n at all points of the line l .*

Proof. In fact, from (25) and (26) it follows that at regular points Z of the line l , i.e., for $J \neq 0$, the tangent subspace T_Z is determined by the same points $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$. Therefore these subspaces are not changed when a point x moves along the line l . ■

3 The fundamental geometric objects and tensors of a lightlike hypersurface defined in a second-order neighborhood

1. Singular points F_a are defined invariantly on an isotropic geodesic l of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} . But the coordinates s_a of these points depend on the choice of the points A_0 and A_1 on this isotropic geodesic and on normalization of these points. The coefficients of characteristic equation (27) also depend on the choice and normalization of these two points. The point A_0 can move freely along the straight line l , since A_0 is an arbitrary point of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} . A displacement of this point is determined by a parameter u^1 whose differential du^1 is contained in the basis form ω^1 . As to the point A_1 , its freedom of motion can be restricted. For example, we can suppose that the point A_1 is the *harmonic pole* (introduced in [Cas 50]) of the point A_0 with respect to the foci F_a of the isotropic geodesic l . Then the displacement of the point A_1 is determined by the same parameter u^1 which determines the displacement of A_0 .

The coordinate λ of the harmonic pole of the point A_0 with respect to the foci F_a is equal to the arithmetic mean of coordinates of the foci F_a :

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_a s_a.$$

But the sum of the roots of algebraic equation (27) is the negative of the coefficient in s^{n-3} of this equation, that is, this sum is the trace of the quasiaffinor λ_b^a . Thus

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n-2} \lambda_a^a = \frac{1}{n-2} \lambda_{ab} g^{ab}, \quad (29)$$

and we have the following expression of the harmonic pole H :

$$H = A_1 - \lambda A_0 \quad (30)$$

(see Figure 3).

Figure 3

If we superpose the point A_1 with the harmonic pole H , then we obtain $\lambda = 0$. After such a normalization, all remaining coefficients of characteristic equation (27) become relative invariants of the hypersurface V^{n-1} . The weights of these invariants are equal to the degrees of a component of quasiaffinor λ_b^a that occurs in the expressions of these components.

2. Consider the second prolongation of the basic differential equations (18) of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} in a manifold M endowed with the pseudo-conformal $CO(n-1, 1)$ -structure of Lorentzian signature. To this end, using equations (3)–(5), we take exterior derivatives of equations (20) obtained in the first prolongation of equations (18). As a result, we arrive at the following exterior quadratic equations:

$$[\nabla \lambda_{ab} - \lambda_{ab}(\omega_0^0 + \omega_1^1) - g_{ab}\omega_1^0 + (2C_{ab1}^n + \lambda_{al}g^{lc}\lambda_{cb})\omega^1 + C_{abc}^n\omega^c] \wedge \omega^b = 0, \quad (31)$$

where $\nabla \lambda_{ab} = d\lambda_{ab} - \lambda_{cb}(\omega_a^c - \delta_a^c\omega_0^0) - \lambda_{ac}(\omega_b^c - \delta_b^c\omega_0^0)$. By Cartan's lemma, we find from (31) that

$$\nabla \lambda_{ab} - \lambda_{ab}(\omega_0^0 + \omega_1^1) - g_{ab}\omega_1^0 + (2C_{ab1}^n + \lambda_{al}g^{lc}\lambda_{cb})\omega^1 + C_{abc}^n\omega^c = \lambda_{abc}\omega^c, \quad (32)$$

where λ_{abc} are symmetric with respect to all lower indices.

The quantities C_{ab1}^n are symmetric with respect to the indices a and b since by (7) and (8) we have

$$C_{ab1}^n = -C_{1ab1} = -C_{b11a} = -C_{1ba1} = C_{ba1}^n.$$

If we alternate equations (32) with respect to the indices a and b , then we find that $C_{[ab]c}^n = 0$. This implies that $C_{abc}^n = C_{bac}^n$. By (7) and (8) we have $C_{abc}^n = -C_{acb}^n$. It follows that

$$C_{abc}^n = -C_{acb}^n = -C_{cab}^n = C_{cba}^n = C_{cab}^n = -C_{bac}^n = -C_{abc}^n.$$

Thus the components C_{abc}^n of the curvature tensor satisfy the conditions

$$C_{abc}^n = 0.$$

As a result, equation (32) takes the form

$$\nabla \lambda_{ab} - \lambda_{ab}(\omega_0^0 + \omega_1^1) - g_{ab}\omega_1^0 + (2C_{ab1}^n + \lambda_{al}g^{lc}\lambda_{cb})\omega^1 = \lambda_{abc}\omega^c. \quad (33)$$

Note also that using the operator ∇ , we can write equations (6) and the corresponding equations for the tensor g^{ab} in the form

$$\nabla g_{ab} = 2g_{ab}\omega_0^0, \quad \nabla g^{ab} = -2g^{ab}\omega_0^0. \quad (34)$$

For a fixed point $x \in V^{n-1}$ (i.e., for $\omega^1 = \omega^a = 0$), we find from (32) that

$$\nabla_\delta \lambda_{ab} - \lambda_{ab}(\pi_0^0 + \pi_1^1) - g_{ab}\pi_1^0 = 0, \quad (35)$$

where $\delta = d|_{\omega^1=\omega^a=0}$, $\pi_j^i = \omega_j^i(\delta) = \omega_j^i|_{\omega^1=\omega^a=0}$, and $\nabla_\delta \lambda_{ab} = \delta \lambda_{ab} - \lambda_{cb}(\pi_a^c - \delta_a^c \pi_0^0) - \lambda_{ac}(\pi_b^c - \delta_b^c \pi_0^0)$.

Equations (35) prove that the quantities λ_{ab} do not form a tensor since they are changed under a displacement of the point A_1 along the isotropic geodesic $l = A_0 A_1$. However, the quantities $\{g_{ab}, \lambda_{ab}\}$ allow us to construct a tensor defined in a second-order differential neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$. To this end, we consider the geometric object λ defined by formula (29). We set $\omega^1 = \omega^a = 0$ and differentiate (29), using (35) and the relation $\nabla_\delta g^{ab} = -2g^{ab}\pi_0^0$ (which follows from (34)). As a result, we find that λ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$\delta \lambda + \lambda(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1) - \pi_1^0 = 0. \quad (36)$$

Using the quantities λ_{ab} , λ , and g_{ab} , we construct the quantities

$$h_{ab} = \lambda_{ab} - \lambda g_{ab}. \quad (37)$$

Differentiating (37) with respect to the fiber parameters and using (35), (36) and (34), we find that these new quantities satisfy the following differential equations:

$$\nabla_\delta h_{ab} = h_{ab}(\pi_0^0 + \pi_1^1), \quad (38)$$

where $\nabla_\delta h_{ab}$ has the expression similar to that of $\nabla_\delta \lambda_{ab}$. It follows from (38) that the quantities h_{ab} form a symmetric relative $(0, 2)$ -tensor that is defined in a second-order differential neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$. Contracting equation (37) with the tensor g^{ab} , we find that

$$h_{ab}g^{ab} = 0, \quad (39)$$

i.e., tensor h_{ab} is apolar to the tensor g_{ab} .

By means of the tensor h_{ab} , we can construct the affinor

$$h_b^a = g^{ac}h_{cb} = \lambda_b^a - \lambda \delta_b^a, \quad (40)$$

that is also defined in a second-order differential neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$. This affinor is trace-free, since it is easy to check that $h_a^a = 0$.

3. Equations (37) prove that if we superpose the point A_1 with the harmonic pole H of the point A_0 with respect to the foci F_a of the isotropic geodesic l , then the quantities λ_{ab} will be identically equal to the corresponding components of the tensor h_{ab} . It is naturally to call the tensor h_{ab} the second fundamental tensor of the hypersurface V^{n-1} , and the affiner h_b^a the *Burali-Forti affiner* of V^{n-1} (cf. [Bu 12]). (Note that the authors of [DB 96] called h_b^a the shape operator; see [DB 96], pp. 85, 154, and 160.)

The following two theorems clarify a geometric meaning of the affiner h_b^a .

Theorem 6 *The harmonic pole H of the point $A_0 = x$ with respect to the foci F_a of the isotropic geodesic $l = A_0A_1$ is its regular point if and only if $h = \det(h_b^a) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Superpose the vertex A_1 of the frame associated with an isotropic geodesic $l = A_0A_1$ with the harmonic pole H of its point A_0 , $A_1 = H$. Then by (30), (37), (40), and (39), we find that

$$\lambda = 0, \quad \lambda_{ab} = h_{ab}, \quad \lambda_b^a = h_b^a, \quad h_a^a = 0, \quad (41)$$

and by (21), we obtain

$$\omega_1^a = h_b^a \omega^b. \quad (42)$$

Hence from (25) it follows that

$$dA_1 = \omega_1^0 A_0 + \omega_1^1 A_1 + h_a^b \omega^a A_b. \quad (43)$$

The tangent subspace to the hypersurface V^{n-1} at the point $A_1 = H$ is determined by the points A_1, A_0 , and $C_a = h_a^b A_b$, and if $h \neq 0$, then $\dim T_H(V^{n-1}) = n - 1$. This implies Theorem 6. ■

A point x of a lightlike hypersurface $V^{n-1} \subset (M, c)$ is called *umbilical* if $h_b^a = 0$ at this point. A hypersurface V^{n-1} is called *totally umbilical* if all its points are umbilical, i.e., if the tensor h_b^a vanishes on V^{n-1} .

Theorem 7 *Every isotropic geodesic of a totally umbilical hypersurface $V^{n-1} \subset (M, c)$ carries a single $(n - 2)$ -fold singular point coinciding with its harmonic pole H . Moreover,*

- (a) *If a manifold (M, c) is not conformally flat, then the point H describes a singular curve on V^{n-1} .*
- (b) *If a manifold (M, c) is conformally flat, then the point H is fixed. In this case the image of the hypersurface V^{n-1} on a hyperquadric Q_1^n is the isotropic cone $C_H = Q_1^n \cap T_H(Q_1^n)$.*
- (c) *Conversely, any isotropic cone C_y of the conformal space C_1^n is a totally umbilical lightlike hypersurface.*

Proof. First note that for $h_b^a = 0$, it follows from (42) that

$$\lambda_b^a = \lambda \delta_b^a.$$

This allows us to write equation (27) defining the coordinates of singular points of the line l in the form

$$(s - \lambda)^{n-2} = 0.$$

It follows that the harmonic pole $H = A_1 - \lambda A_0$ coincides with a single $(n-2)$ -fold singular point of the line l and is not changed when the point A_0 moves along the line l .

Next, on a totally umbilical lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} equations (42) take the form

$$\omega_1^a = 0. \quad (44)$$

Taking exterior derivatives of equations (44) and using (4), we find that

$$\omega_1^0 \wedge \omega_0^a + 2C_{11b}^a \omega^1 \wedge \omega^b + C_{1bc}^a \omega^b \wedge \omega^c = 0. \quad (45)$$

From (45) it follows that 1-form ω_1^0 is a linear combination of the basis forms ω^1 and ω^a :

$$\omega_1^0 = \mu \omega^1 + \mu_a \omega^a.$$

Using equations (45), it is easy to prove that

$$\mu = 0, \quad \mu_a = \frac{2}{n-3} C_{1ba}^b.$$

Of course, we should assume that $n \geq 4$.

By (44), we have

$$dA_1 = \omega_1^0 A_0 + \omega_1^1 A_1.$$

It follows that the point A_1 describes a curve tangent to the isotropic geodesic $l = A_0 A_1$. This proves part (a) of Theorem 7.

If a manifold (M, c) is conformally flat, then it follows from (45) that $\omega_1^0 = 0$ and for $n \geq 4$, equation (44) takes the form

$$dA_1 = \omega_1^1 A_1, \quad (46)$$

This implies that the point $A_1 = H$ is fixed. Under the Darboux mapping on a hyperquadric Q_1^n of the space P^{n+1} , the isotropic geodesics of the hypersurface V^{n-1} are mapped into rectilinear generators of the isotropic cone C_y whose vertex is the image of the point A_1 under the Darboux mapping, $y = A_1$. This proves part (b) of Theorem 7.

Finally, we will prove part (c) of Theorem 7. Let x be an arbitrary point of an isotropic cone C_y with vertex y . With this cone we associate a first-order frame bundle in such a way that $A_0 = x$ and $A_1 = y$. Then since the point y is fixed, we have equations (46). They imply $\omega_1^a = 0$, $\lambda_b^a = 0$, $h_b^a = 0$. This proves part (c). ■

4 An affine connection on a lightlike hypersurface

Let us find conditions under which on a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a manifold endowed with a pseudoconformal structure of Lorentzian signature there will be defined an affine connection. Such a hypersurface is defined by equations (17) and (18), and its basis forms are $\omega^1, \omega^a, a = 2, \dots, n-1$. Therefore, on such a hypersurface equations (2) take the form

$$\begin{cases} d\omega^1 = \omega^1 \wedge (\omega_1^1 - \omega_0^0) + \omega^a \wedge \omega_a^1, \\ d\omega^a = \omega^1 \wedge \omega_1^a + \omega^b \wedge (\omega_b^a - \delta_b^a \omega_0^0). \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

Thus the matrix 1-form

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1^1 - \omega_0^0 & \omega_a^1 \\ \omega_1^a & \omega_b^a - \delta_b^a \omega_0^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines on V^{n-1} an affine structure. To define an affine connection, the form ω must satisfy the structure equation

$$d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = \Omega,$$

where Ω is the curvature 2-form of this connection which is a linear combination of exterior products of the basis forms ω^1 and ω^a (see, for example, [KN 63], Ch. III).

We differentiate the form ω componentwise and apply equations (3) and (4). As a result, we find that

$$\begin{cases} d(\omega_1^1 - \omega_0^0) - \omega_1^a \wedge \omega_a^1 = 2\omega_1^0 \wedge \omega_0^1 + \omega^a \wedge \omega_a^0 + C_{1kl}^1 \omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \\ d\omega_a^1 - \omega_a^1 \wedge (\omega_1^1 - \omega_0^0) - (\omega_a^b - \delta_a^b \omega_0^0) \wedge \omega_b^1 \\ = \omega_a^0 \wedge \omega_1^0 - g_{ab} \omega^b \wedge \omega_n^0 + C_{akl}^1 \omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \\ d\omega_1^a - (\omega_1^1 - \omega_0^0) \wedge \omega_1^a - \omega_1^b \wedge (\omega_b^a - \delta_b^a \omega_0^0) \\ = \omega_1^0 \wedge \omega_0^a + C_{1kl}^a \omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \\ d(\omega_b^a - \delta_b^a \omega_0^0) - \omega_b^1 \wedge \omega_1^a - (\omega_b^c - \delta_b^c \omega_0^0) \wedge (\omega_c^a - \delta_c^a \omega_0^0) \\ = \omega_b^0 \wedge \omega_0^a + \omega_b^n \wedge \omega_n^a + g_{bc} g^{ae} \omega^c \wedge \omega_e^0 - \delta_b^a (\omega_0^1 \wedge \omega_1^0 + \omega_0^c \wedge \omega_c^0) + C_{bkl}^a \omega^k \wedge \omega^l; \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

in these formulas $a, b = 2, \dots, n-1; k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

The right-hand sides of these equations are not expressed yet in terms of basis forms since the 1-forms $\omega_1^0, \omega_a^0, \omega_n^0$, and $\omega_n^a = g^{ab} \omega_b^1$ are fiber forms in the first-order frame bundle associated with a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} . To make these forms principal, it is necessary to specialize our moving frames. The forms ω_a^1 become principal if on V^{n-1} there is given a screen distribution S , and along with distribution, also a field of normalizing isotropic lines $A_0 A_n$ is

given (see Section 2.1). As it was indicated in [DB 96], such a specialization is sufficient for defining an affine connection on a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of Lorentzian signature.

However, in order to define an affine connection on a manifold (M, c) endowed with a pseudoconformal structure of Lorentzian signature, it is not sufficient to have only a screen distribution. For the 1-forms ω_1^0 , ω_a^0 , and ω_n^0 to be principal, it is necessary that in the tangent space $T_x(M)$ of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$, a hyperplane L_x not passing through the point x is given. Then a field L of such hyperplanes L_x together with a screen distribution define an affine connection on M . Thus the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 8 *To define an affine connection on a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a manifold (M, c) , it is sufficient to assign on V^{n-1} a screen distribution S and a field L of normalizing hyperplanes L_x belonging to the tangent bundle $T(M)$.*

Note that on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) the role of normalizing hyperplanes is played by the planes at infinity of the tangent spaces $T_x(M)$.

In what follows, on an isotropic hypersurface V^{n-1} , we will make an invariant construction of a screen distribution S and a field L of normalizing hyperplanes that are intrinsically connected with the geometry of the hypersurface V^{n-1} .

5 Construction of the main part of an invariant normalization of a lightlike hypersurface

1. We will derive now some formulas that will be used later. Differentiating equation (29) and applying equation (31), we obtain the following Pfaffian equation:

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda + \lambda(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) - \omega_1^0 = & -\frac{1}{n-2}g^{ab}(\lambda_{ae}g^{ec}\lambda_{cb} + 2C_{ab1}^n) \wedge \omega^1 \\ & + \frac{1}{n-2}g^{ab}\lambda_{abc} \wedge \omega^c. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

From the last equation of (8) it follows that

$$g^{ab}C_{ab1}^n = 0, \quad C_{n11c} = 0.$$

This allows us to write equation (49) in the form:

$$d\lambda + \lambda(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) - \omega_1^0 = -\frac{1}{n-2}g^{ab}\lambda_{ae}g^{ec}\lambda_{cb}\omega^1 + \frac{1}{n-2}g^{ab}\lambda_{abc}\omega^c. \quad (50)$$

Set

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n-2}g^{ab}\lambda_{ae}g^{ec}\lambda_{cb}, \quad \mu_c = -\frac{1}{n-2}g^{ab}\lambda_{abc}. \quad (51)$$

Then equation (50) can be written as

$$d\lambda + \lambda(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) - \omega_1^0 = -\mu\omega^1 - \mu_a\omega^a. \quad (52)$$

Note that *the quantities μ and μ_a are defined in a second- and third-order neighborhood, respectively, of a point x of the hypersurface V^{n-1} .*

Note also that since the tensor g_{ab} is positive definite, the quantity μ defined by (50) is equal to 0 at a point x if and only if the quasitensor λ_{ab} is equal to 0. But then equations (37) imply that the tensor h_{ab} is equal to 0 at the point x , and as a result, the point x is umbilical. Thus *the quantity μ is equal to 0 at umbilical points of the hypersurface V^{n-1} , and only at such points.* In what follows we will assume that the hypersurface V^{n-1} does not have umbilical points and that $\mu \neq 0$ on V^{n-1} . Moreover, from (51) it follows that $\mu > 0$.

If we take exterior derivative of equation (52), we obtain the following exterior quadratic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & [d\mu + 2\mu(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) - 2\lambda\omega_1^0] \wedge \omega_0^1 \\ & + [\nabla\mu_a + \mu_a(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) + h_a^b\omega_b^0 - (\mu\delta_a^b - \lambda\lambda_a^b)\omega_b^1 \\ & + \mu_b\lambda_a^b\omega_0^1 - 2(\lambda C_{11a}^1 + C_{11a})\omega_0^1 + (\lambda C_{1ab}^1 + C_{1ab})\omega^b] \wedge \omega^a = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where $\nabla\mu_a = d\mu_a - \mu_b(\omega_a^b - \delta_a^b\omega_0^0)$. Applying Cartan's lemma to equation (53), we find that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\mu + 2\mu(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) - 2\lambda\omega_1^0 = \nu\omega^1 + \nu_a\omega^a, \\ \nabla\mu_a + \mu_a(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) + h_a^b\omega_b^0 - (\mu\delta_a^b - \lambda\lambda_a^b)\omega_b^1 + \mu_b\lambda_a^b\omega_0^1 \\ \quad - 2(\lambda C_{11a}^1 + C_{11a})\omega_0^1 + (\lambda C_{1ab}^1 + C_{1ab})\omega^b = \nu_a\omega^1 + \nu_{ab}\omega^b, \end{array} \right. \quad (54)$$

where $\nu_{ab} = \nu_{ba}$. Here the quantities ν and ν_a are defined in a third-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$, and the quantities ν_{ab} are defined in a fourth-order neighborhood of $x \in V^{n-1}$.

2. In what follows we assume that the point A_1 of an isotropic geodesic $l = A_0A_1$ is superposed with the harmonic pole H of the point A_0 with respect to the singular points F_a of this straight line. Then equations (41) and (42) hold, equation (52) takes the form

$$\omega_1^0 = \mu\omega^1 + \mu_a\omega^a, \quad (55)$$

and equations (54) become

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\mu + 2\mu(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) = \nu\omega^1 + \nu_a\omega^a, \\ \nabla\mu_a + \mu_a(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) + h_a^b\omega_b^0 - \mu\omega_a^1 - 2C_{11a}\omega^1 + C_{1ab}\omega^b = \nu_a\omega^1 + \nu_{ab}\omega^b. \end{array} \right. \quad (56)$$

Let us write the last equations for fixed principal parameters, i.e., for $\omega^1 = \omega^a = 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \delta\mu = 2\mu(\pi_1^1 - \pi_0^0), \\ \nabla_\delta\mu_a + \mu_a(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1) + h_a^b\pi_b^0 - \mu\pi_a^1 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

The first equation of (57) proves that after the specialization of moving frames described above, the quantity μ becomes a relative invariant of weight 2. As we showed earlier, this relative invariant is different from 0 at non-umbilical points of the hypersurface V^{n-1} .

By (51) the invariant μ can be written now in the following form:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n-2}g^{ab}h_{ae}g^{ec}h_{cb} = \frac{1}{n-2}h_a^bh_b^a. \quad (58)$$

The second equation of (57) contains two groups of fiber forms, ω_a^0 and ω_a^1 , and this is the reason that the reduction of the object μ_a to 0 does not make these forms principal. Hence we should also consider the object ν_a occurring in equations (56) which is also defined in a third-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$. In order to find differential equations which this object satisfies, we take the exterior derivative of the first equation of (56). As a result, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & [d\nu + 3\nu(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) - \nu_a\omega_a^1 + 4\mu\omega_1^1] \wedge \omega_0^1 \\ & + [\nabla\nu_a + 2\nu_a(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) + 2\mu\omega_a^0 - (2\mu h_a^b + \nu\delta_a^b)\omega_b^1] \wedge \omega^a \\ & + 2\mu(2C_{11a}^1\omega^1 \wedge \omega^a + C_{1ab}^1\omega^a \wedge \omega^b) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Substituting the forms ω_1^a and ω_1^0 in equation (59) by their values taken from equations (42) and (55), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [d\nu + 3\nu(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1)] \wedge \omega^1 + [\nabla\nu_a + 2\nu_a(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) + 2\mu\omega_a^0 - (2\mu h_a^b + \nu\delta_a^b)\omega_b^1 \\ & + (\nu_b h_a^b - 4\mu\mu_a)\omega^1 + 2\mu(2C_{11a}^1\omega^1 - C_{1ab}^1\omega^b)] \wedge \omega^a = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where $\nabla\nu_a = d\nu_a - \nu_b(\omega_a^b - \delta_a^b\omega_0^0)$. Applying Cartan's lemma to equation (60), we find that

$$\begin{cases} d\nu + 3\nu(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) = \rho\omega^1 + \rho_a\omega^a, \\ \nabla\nu_a + 2\nu_a(\omega_0^0 - \omega_1^1) + 2\mu\omega_a^0 - (2\mu h_a^b + \nu\delta_a^b)\omega_b^1 \\ + (\nu_b h_a^b - 4\mu\mu_a)\omega^1 + 2\mu(2C_{11a}^1\omega^1 - C_{1ab}^1\omega^b) = \rho_a\omega^1 + \rho_{ab}\omega^b. \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

The coefficients ρ, ρ_a , and ρ_{ab} in equations (61) are connected with a fourth-order differential neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$ and $\rho_{ab} = \rho_{ba}$.

For fixed principal parameters (i.e., for $\omega^1 = \omega^a = 0$), equations (61) take the form

$$\begin{cases} \delta\nu + 3\nu(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1) = 0, \\ \nabla_\delta\nu_a + 2\nu_a(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1) + 2\mu\pi_a^0 - (2\mu h_a^b + \nu\delta_a^b)\pi_b^1 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

When we derived (62), we took into account that by (41) and (55), $\pi_1^a = \pi_1^0 = 0$.

3. Using the geometric objects μ_a and ν_a , we will construct now an invariant normalization of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} .

In the tangent space $T_x(V^{n-1})$, we consider the subspace R_x which is complementary to the straight line A_0A_1 , and take the points

$$C_a = A_a + y_a A_0 + z_a A_1 \quad (63)$$

as basis points of this space. The subspace R_x is invariant if and only if

$$\delta C_a = \sigma_a^b C_b.$$

Differentiating equation (63) with respect to fiber parameters, we find that

$$\delta C_a = (\nabla_\delta y_a + \pi_a^0) A_0 + (\nabla_\delta z_a + z_a(\pi_1^1 - \pi_0^0) + \pi_a^1) A_1 + \pi_a^b C_b.$$

Thus the conditions for the subspace R_x to be invariant is

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_\delta y_a + \pi_a^0 = 0, \\ \nabla_\delta z_a + z_a(\pi_1^1 - \pi_0^0) + \pi_a^1 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (64)$$

Next, using the geometric objects μ_a and ν_a defined earlier, we should construct normalizing geometric objects satisfying equations (64). Let us write one more time the equations which the objects μ_a and ν_a satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_\delta \mu_a + \mu_a(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1) + h_a^b \pi_b^0 - \mu \pi_a^1 = 0, \\ \nabla_\delta \nu_a + 2\nu_a(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1) + 2\mu \pi_a^0 - (2\mu h_a^b + \nu \delta_a^b) \pi_b^1 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

We will try to solve these equations for 1-forms π_a^0 and π_a^1 . Construct the objects

$$M_a = h_a^b \mu_b + \frac{\nu}{2\mu} \mu_a - \frac{1}{2} \nu_a, \quad N_a = \frac{1}{2} h_a^b \nu_b - \mu \mu_a. \quad (66)$$

Differentiating these equations with respect to fiber parameters and applying formulas (65), (57), and (38), we find that

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_\delta M_a + 2M_a(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1) + H_a^b \pi_b^0 = 0, \\ \nabla_\delta N_a + 3N_a(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1) - \mu H_a^b \pi_b^1 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

where

$$H_a^b = h_a^c h_c^b + \frac{\nu}{2\mu} h_a^b - \mu \delta_a^b. \quad (68)$$

We will establish some properties of the tensor H_a^b .

- 1) *The tensor H_a^b is of weight 2.* In fact, differentiating equation (68) with respect to fiber parameters, we find that

$$\nabla_\delta H_a^b = 2H_a^b(\pi_1^1 - \pi_0^0). \quad (69)$$

Since equation (68) contains a relative invariant ν defined in a third-order neighborhood, the tensor H_a^b is also connected with this neighborhood.

- 2) *The tensor H_a^b is trace-free.* In fact, we have

$$H_a^a = h_a^c h_c^a - (n-2)\mu,$$

since h_a^b is a trace-free tensor. But by formula (58) defining the invariant μ , it follows that $H_a^a = 0$.

- 3) *The tensor H_a^b can be reduced to a diagonal form simultaneously with the tensors g_{ab} and h_a^b .* In fact, since the tensor g_{ab} is positive definite, and by (40) the tensor h_a^b satisfies the condition $g_{ac} h_b^c = g_{bc} h_a^c$, it follows that the tensors g_{ab} and h_a^b can be reduced simultaneously to diagonal forms:

$$g_{ab} = \delta_{ab}, \quad h_a^b = h_a \delta_{ab}, \quad a, b = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

But now it follows from (68) that the tensor H_a^b is also reduced to a diagonal form and has the following eigenvalues:

$$H_a = h_a^2 + \frac{\nu}{2\mu} h_a - \mu. \quad (70)$$

- 4) *If the tensor H_a^b is degenerate, then the relative invariants μ and ν of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} are connected by an algebraic equation, and the hypersurface is of a special type.* In fact, suppose that $\det(H_a^b) = 0$. Then at least one of the eigenvalues H_a of the tensor H_a^b is equal to 0. Suppose that $H_2 = 0$. This and (70) imply that

$$h_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2\mu} h_2 - \mu = 0.$$

Moreover since $h_2 \neq 0$, we have $\mu \neq 0$. The above written relation is an algebraic equation indicated earlier.

- 5) *If $n = 4$, then the tensors H_a^b and h_a^b are proportional:*

$$H_a^b = \frac{\nu}{2\mu} h_a^b. \quad (71)$$

In fact, if $n = 4$, we have $a, b = 2, 3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= -\frac{1}{2}(h_2^2 + h_3^2), \\ H_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(h_2^2 - h_3^2) + \frac{\nu}{2\mu} h_2, \quad H_3 = \frac{1}{2}(h_3^2 - h_2^2) + \frac{\nu}{2\mu} h_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since the tensor h_b^a is trace-free, we have $h_2 + h_3 = 0$, and the first terms in the expressions for H_2 and H_3 vanish. This implies equation (71).

Formula (71) implies that for $n = 4$ the tensor H_a^b is the zero-tensor either if the tensor h_a^b is the zero-tensor (i.e., the hypersurface V^3 is umbilical) or if $\nu = 0$.

4. Suppose now that the tensor h_a^b is nondegenerate. As we proved in Theorem 7, this means that the harmonic pole $H = A_1$ of the point $x = A_0$ is a nonsingular point. The invariant μ defined by the formula (51) is different from 0. Suppose further that the relative tensor H_a^b defined by formula (68) is also nondegenerate and denote by $\tilde{H}_a^b$ the inverse tensor of the tensor H_a^b . By (69), the tensor $\tilde{H}_a^b$ satisfies the equations

$$\nabla_\delta \tilde{H}_a^b = 2\tilde{H}_a^b(\pi_0^0 - \pi_1^1). \quad (72)$$

We construct also two more objects

$$P_a = \tilde{H}_a^b M_b, \quad Q_a = \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{H}_a^b N_b. \quad (73)$$

By (67) and (69), these objects satisfy the equations

$$\nabla_\delta P_a + \pi_a^0 = 0, \quad \nabla_\delta Q_a + Q_a(\pi_1^1 - \pi_0^0) + \pi_a^1 = 0. \quad (74)$$

Comparing (74) and (64), we see that equations (69) are satisfied if we set

$$y_a = P_a, \quad z_a = Q_a.$$

This implies that in the tangents subspace $T_x(V^{n-1})$ the points

$$C_a = A_a + P_a A_0 + Q_a A_1 \quad (75)$$

define an invariant $(n - 3)$ -dimensional subspace R_x that is intrinsically connected with the geometry of the hypersurface V^{n-1} . The formulas (66) and (73) show that the geometric objects P_a and Q_a are expressed algebraically in terms of the objects μ_a and ν_a defined in a third-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$. Hence the invariant subspace R_x is defined in a third-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$ too.

The invariant subspace R_x defines an invariant *screen subspace* $S_x = [x, R_x]$ and a *complementary screen subspace* $\tilde{S}_x = [H(x), R_x]$ which is the span of the harmonic pole $H(x)$ of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$ and the subspace R_x (see Figure 4). On a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} these subspaces define a *screen distribution* $S = \cup_{x \in V^{n-1}} S_x$ and a *complementary screen distribution* $\tilde{S} = \cup_{x \in V^{n-1}} \tilde{S}_x$ that are intrinsically connected with the geometry of the hypersurface V^{n-1} and are defined in its third-order differential neighborhood. Note that in the above

formulas, $x \in V^{n-1}$ means that all regular points x are taken for which the harmonic points are regular too.

Figure 4

Thus we have proved the following result.

Theorem 9 *On a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a manifold endowed with a pseudoconformal structure of Lorentzian signature, an invariant screen distribution S and an invariant complementary screen distribution $\tilde{S}$ that are intrinsically connected with the geometry of V^{n-1} are defined by elements of a third-order differential neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$ and can be constructed in the way indicated above.*

Note that the problem of construction of an invariant normalization as well as of an affine connection for a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of Lorentzian signature (for definition see [ON 83]) was considered by many authors (see [DB 96], Ch. 4). However, as far as we know, an invariant normalization and an affine connection intrinsically connected with the geometry of V^{n-1} were not considered in these papers. In [DB 96] (see pp. 115–117) the authors consider a canonical normalization (canonical screen distribution) that is not invariant with respect to the Lorentzian transformations of the tangent space $T_x(M)$. A similar normalization was considered in [Bo 72].

6 A congruence of normalizing straight lines

1. In order to complete the construction of an invariant normalization of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} , we need only to construct an invariant point on the

isotropic normalizing straight line xC_n that is conjugate to the screen subspace S_x . To simplify our construction, we superpose the vertices A_a of our moving frame with the basis points C_a of the invariant subspace R_x that are defined by formulas (75). As a result, we find that $P_a = Q_a = 0$. Then equations (73) imply that $M_b = N_b = 0$. Since the tensor H_a^b is nondegenerate, equations (66) imply that $\mu_a = \nu_a = 0$.

As a result of the specialization of moving frames we have made, the second equations of (56) and (61) take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} h_a^b \omega_b^0 - \mu \omega_a^1 - 2C_{11a} \omega_0^1 + C_{1ab} \omega^b &= \nu_{ab} \omega^b, \\ 2\mu \omega_a^0 - (2\mu h_a^b + \nu \delta_a^b) \omega_b^1 + 2\mu(2C_{11a}^1 \omega^1 - C_{1ab}^1 \omega^b) &= \rho_a \omega^1 + \rho_{ab} \omega^b, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The coefficients in the basis forms ω^1 and ω^a in the right-hand sides of equations (76) are defined by elements of a fourth-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$.

Since $\det(H_a^b) \neq 0$, one can solve equations (76) with respect to the 1-forms ω_a^0 and ω_a^1 . We will write these solutions in the form

$$\begin{cases} \omega_a^0 = \sigma_a \omega^1 + \sigma_{ab} \omega^b, \\ \omega_a^1 = \tau_a \omega^1 + \tau_{ab} \omega^b. \end{cases} \quad (77)$$

The coefficients of these decompositions are expressed algebraically in terms of the coefficients of equation (76). Thus they are also defined by elements of a fourth-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$.

Taking exterior derivative of the second equation of (77), applying Cartan's lemma to exterior quadratic equation obtained, and fixing the principal parameters, we find that

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_\delta \tau_a = 0, \\ \nabla_\delta \tau_{ab} + \tau_{ab}(\pi_1^1 - \pi_0^0) - g_{ab} \pi_n^0 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (78)$$

The first equation of (78) proves that the quantities τ_a form a covector. The second equation of (78) allows us to construct a geometric object that fixes a point on a rectilinear generator $A_0 A_1$. This can be done as follows.

Consider the quantity

$$\tau = \frac{1}{n-2} \tau_{ab} g^{ab}. \quad (79)$$

By means of the second equation of (78) and equation (17), this quantity satisfies the equation

$$\delta \tau + \tau(\pi_0^0 + \pi_1^1) - \pi_n^0 = 0. \quad (80)$$

Consider a point $Z = A_n + z A_0$ on the normalizing straight line $A_0 A_1$. Differentiating this point with respect to fiber parameters, we find that

$$\delta Z = [\delta z + z(\pi_0^0 + \pi_1^1) + \pi_n^0] A_0 - \pi_1^1 Z.$$

It follows that the point Z is invariant if and only if its coordinate z satisfies the equation

$$\delta z + z(\pi_0^0 + \pi_1^1) + \pi_n^0 = 0. \quad (81)$$

Comparing equations (80) and (81), we see that $z = -\tau$ is a solution of equation (81). Thus the point

$$C_n = A_n - \tau A_0 \quad (82)$$

is not only an invariant point but also this point is intrinsically connected with the geometry of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} and is defined by elements of a fourth-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$.

We proved the following result.

Theorem 10 *On a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a manifold (M, c) , a complete invariant normalization intrinsically connected with the geometry of V^{n-1} is defined by elements of a fourth-order differential neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$. This complete normalization induces an affine connections that is also intrinsically connected with the geometry of V^{n-1} .*

The last statement of Theorem 10 follows from Theorem 8. The normalizing hyperplane L_x discussed in Theorem 8 is defined by the harmonic pole H of the point x with respect to the singular points of the isotropic geodesic A_0A_1 of the hypersurface V^{n-1} ; the normalizing $(n-3)$ -plane R_x which is the intersection of the screen subspace and the complementary screen subspace (both belong to the hyperplane $T_x(V^{n-1})$); and finally the invariant point C_n (defined by formula (82) on the normalizing straight line A_0A_n) which is conjugate to the screen subspace S_x with respect to the isotropic cone C_x .

2. Let us clarify a geometric meaning of the normalizing point C_n on the straight line A_0A_n . We consider this straight line as the line belonging to a local hyperquadric $(Q_1^n)_x$ that is tangent to a manifold (M, c) at a point x . On the manifold (M, c) , to this line A_0A_n there corresponds an isotropic geodesic $\tilde{l}$ which we will also denote by A_0A_n , $\tilde{l} = A_0A_n$.

When a point A_0 describes a lightlike hypersurface $V^{n-1} \subset (M, c)$, the isotropic geodesic $\tilde{l}$ describes an *isotropic congruence* U . As a point manifold, this congruence is an n -dimensional domain on the manifold (M, c) . This is the reason that we will denote it by U^n . Moreover, $U^n = \tilde{f}(V^{n-1} \times \tilde{l})$, where $\tilde{f}$ is a differentiable mapping of the direct product $V^{n-1} \times \tilde{l}$ onto (M, c) .

Let us find the Jacobian of the mapping $\tilde{f}$. To this end we consider an arbitrary point $Z = A_n + zA_0$ on the isotropic geodesic $\tilde{l}$. The differential of this point has the form

$$\begin{aligned} dZ = & -\omega_1^1 Z + [dz + z(\omega_0^0 + \omega_1^1) + \omega_n^0] A_0 \\ & + (\tau_b^a + z\delta_b^a)\omega^b A_a + (zA_1 + \tau^a A_a - A_{n+1})\omega^1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau^a = g^{ab}\tau_b$ and $\tau_a^b = g^{ac}\tau_{cb}$. At regular points Z of the mapping $\tilde{f}$, the tangent space to the domain U^n coincides with the n -dimensional tangent subspace of the manifold (M, c) which is defined by the points Z, A_0, A_n , and $A_{n+1} - zA_1 - \tau^a A_a$. At singular points Z , the dimension of this tangent space is reduced. This happened at the points at which the rank of the Jacobian matrix of the mapping f ,

$$\tilde{J} = (\tau_b^a + z\delta_b^a), \quad a, b = 2, \dots, n-1,$$

is reduced, and only at such points. At such points the Jacobian vanishes:

$$\det(\tau_b^a + z\delta_b^a) = 0. \quad (83)$$

Denote the eigenvalues of the matrix (τ_b^a) by $\tilde{\tau}_a$. In general, the matrix (τ_b^a) is not symmetric, since the matrix (τ_{ab}) occurring in equation (77) is not symmetric. Thus the eigenvalues $\tilde{\tau}_a$ of the matrix (τ_b^a) can be either real or complex conjugate. Hence the solutions of equation (81), that are defined by the formula $z_a = -\tilde{\tau}_a$, as well as the singular points

$$Z_a = A_n - \tilde{\tau}_a A_0 \quad (84)$$

can be also complex conjugate.

But even in the case of complex conjugate roots of equation (83), by Vieta's theorem, the sum of the roots of equation (83) is the negative trace of the matrix (τ_b^a) ,

$$\sum_{a=2}^{n-1} z_a = -\sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \tau_a = -\sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \tau_b^b = -\tau_{ab}g^{ab}. \quad (85)$$

This relation allows us to find a geometric meaning of the invariant point C_n on the isotropic geodesic $\tilde{l} = A_0 A_n$. Since the coefficient τ occurring in the formula (82) defining the point C_n is determined by equation (79), *the point C_n is the harmonic pole of the point A_0 with respect to the singular points Z_a of the mapping $\tilde{f}$.*

7 Integrability of screen distributions

Consider the screen distribution S and the complementary screen distribution $\tilde{S}$ of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} which we have constructed in Section 5.4. The distribution S is formed by the subspaces $S_x = A_0 \wedge C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge C_{n-1}$, where the points C_a are defined by equations (75), and the distribution $\tilde{S}$ is formed by the subspaces $\tilde{S}_x = H \wedge C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge C_{n-1}$, where H is the harmonic pole of the point A_0 with respect to the singular points of the isotropic geodesic $l = A_0 A_1$ of the hypersurface V^{n-1} .

As we indicated in Section 6.1, assuming that the tensors h_b^a and H_b^a are nondegenerate, we can reduce a frame bundle associated with the hypersurface

V^{n-1} in such a way that the points A_1 and H as well as the points A_a and C_a will be superposed. This gives

$$S_x = A_0 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n-1}, \quad \tilde{S}_x = A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n-1}.$$

As a result, the screen distribution S of the hypersurface V^{n-1} is defined by the differential equation

$$\omega_0^1 = 0, \quad (86)$$

and the complementary screen distribution $\tilde{S}$ is defined by the equation

$$\omega_1^0 = 0. \quad (87)$$

Let us find the conditions of integrability of the screen distributions S and $\tilde{S}$. Since in the reduced frame bundle the geometric object μ_a vanishes, equation (55) connecting the 1-forms ω_1^0 and ω_0^1 takes the form

$$\omega_1^0 = \mu \omega_0^1, \quad (88)$$

where the invariant μ is different from 0. Thus *if one of the distributions S and $\tilde{S}$ defined by equations (86) and (87), respectively, is integrable, then another one is also integrable.*

From the structure equations (2)–(5) of a manifold (M, c) endowed with the pseudoconformal structure $CO(n-1, 1)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_0^1 &= \omega_0^0 \wedge \omega_0^1 + \omega_0^1 \wedge \omega_1^1 + \omega_0^a \wedge \omega_a^1, \\ d\omega_1^0 &= \omega_1^0 \wedge \omega_0^0 + \omega_1^1 \wedge \omega_1^0 + \omega_1^a \wedge \omega_a^0 + 2C_{11a}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^a + C_{1ab}\omega^a \wedge \omega^b. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values of the 1-forms ω_a^1 and ω_a^0 taken from equations (77) into the last two equations, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_0^1 &\equiv \tau_{ab}\omega^a \wedge \omega^b \pmod{\omega_0^1}, \\ d\omega_1^0 &\equiv (h_a^c \sigma_{cb} + C_{1ab})\omega^a \wedge \omega^b \pmod{\omega_1^0}. \end{aligned}$$

This and the Frobenius theorem (see, for example, [BCGGG 91]) imply that the condition of integrability of the screen distribution S has the form

$$\tau_{ab} = \tau_{ba}, \quad (89)$$

and the condition of integrability of the complementary screen distribution $\tilde{S}$ has the form

$$h_a^c \sigma_{cb} + C_{1ab} = h_b^c \sigma_{ca} + C_{1ba}. \quad (90)$$

Let us prove that conditions (89) and (90) are equivalent. In fact, in the reduced frame bundle we have equations (76) and (77). Substituting the values of ω_a^0 and ω_a^1 taken from (77) into the first equation of (76) and using the fact

that the forms ω^1 and ω^a , $a = 2, \dots, n-1$, are linearly independent, we find that

$$-h_a^b \sigma_b - \mu \tau_a + 2C_{11a} = 0; \quad -h_a^c \sigma_{cb} - \mu \tau_{ab} = C_{1ab} + \nu_{ab}.$$

Alternating the second equation with respect to the indices a and b , we obtain that

$$h_a^c \sigma_{cb} - h_b^c \sigma_{ca} + C_{1ab} - C_{1ba} = -2\mu \tau_{[ab]}. \quad (91)$$

Since $\mu \neq 0$, it follows from (91) that conditions (89) and (90) are equivalent.

Suppose that the screen distribution S of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} is integrable. Denote by $S(x)$ an integral manifold of this distribution passing through a point $x = A_0$ of the isotropic geodesic l of the hypersurface V^{n-1} . Then Pfaffian equation (86) determines also a stratification of the normalizing congruence U^n of the hypersurface V^{n-1} into a one-parameter family of lightlike hypersurfaces $U^{n-1}(x)$ whose generators $\tilde{l} = A_0 A_n$ pass through the points of the manifold $S(x)$.

The following theorem combines the results obtained in this subsection.

Theorem 11 *If on a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} the conditions (89) hold, then*

- (a) *The screen distribution S and the complementary screen distribution $\tilde{S}$, are integrable.*
- (b) *The normalizing lightlike congruence U^n of a hypersurface V^{n-1} is stratified into a one-parameter family of lightlike hypersurfaces U^{n-1} .*
- (c) *All singular points of the congruence U^n are real and coincide with singular points of the hypersurfaces U^{n-1} .*

Part (c) of Theorem 11 follows from the symmetry of the matrix (τ_{ab}) : all eigenvalues of such a matrix (roots of (83)) are real.

Note also that if a $CO(n-1, 1)$ -structure on the manifold (M, c) is conformally flat, then equations (76) and (89) imply that the affinors τ_b^a and $\sigma_b^a = g^{ac} \sigma_{cb}$ of a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} are diagonalized simultaneously with the affinors h_b^a and H_b^a . Geometrically this means that the torses formed by isotropic geodesics on every lightlike hypersurface U^{n-1} correspond one to another.

8 Construction of affine connections intrinsically connected with a lightlike hypersurface

1. In Section 4, we have already considered the question of finding an affine connection on a lightlike hypersurface V^{n-1} of a manifold (M, c) . As we proved in Theorem 10, an affine connection on V^{n-1} —denote it by γ_1 —is defined in a

fourth-order differential neighborhood. Equations (47) show that this connection is torsion-free. For finding the curvature tensor of the connection γ_1 we substitute the values (77) of the forms ω_a^0 and ω_a^1 into (48). In addition, since the vertex A_n coincides with the harmonic pole C_n of the point $A_0 = x$ with respect to the singular points Z_a of the isotropic geodesic A_0A_n , the 1-form ω_n^0 becomes a principal form:

$$\omega_n^0 = \varphi_1 \omega^1 + \varphi_a \omega^a. \quad (92)$$

The coefficients φ_1 and φ_a in (92) are defined in a fifth-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$. This implies that the curvature tensor of the affine connection γ_1 induced by the invariant normalization of V^{n-1} we have constructed is also defined in a fifth-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$.

However, there is another way to construct an affine connection on a hypersurface V^{n-1} . To this end, we note that in a reduced frame bundle associated with a third-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$ the 1-forms ω_1^a and ω_a^1 in equations (47) become principal: they are expressed by formulas (42) and (77). Substituting the expressions of these forms into equations (47), we find that

$$\begin{cases} d\omega^1 = \omega^1 \wedge (\omega_1^1 - \omega_0^0) + \omega^a \wedge (\tau_a \omega^1 + \tau_{ab} \omega^b), \\ d\omega^a = \omega^b \wedge (\omega_b^a - \delta_b^a \omega_0^0) + h_b^a \omega^1 \wedge \omega^b. \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

Thus the forms $\omega_1^1 - \omega_0^0$ and $\omega_b^a - \delta_b^a \omega_0^0$ can be considered as the only connection forms of the affine connection γ_2 , and the 2-forms

$$\begin{cases} \Theta^1 = \omega^a \wedge (\tau_a \omega^1 + \tau_{ab} \omega^b), \\ \Theta^a = h_b^a \omega^1 \wedge \omega^b. \end{cases} \quad (94)$$

as the torsion 2-forms of this connection.

In the decompositions (48) of exterior differentials of the 1-forms ω_1^a and ω_a^1 we have only the forms ω_1^0 , ω_a^0 , and $\omega_n^a = g^{ab} \omega_1^a$, and the form ω_n^0 does not occur in these decompositions. Thus *the torsion and curvature tensors of the affine connection γ_2 are defined in a fourth-order neighborhood of a point $x \in V^{n-1}$, not the fifth-order as was the case for the connection γ_1 .*

If the principal parameters are fixed, then the first and the last subsystems of system (48) take the form

$$\begin{cases} \delta(\pi_1^1 - \pi_0^0) = 0, \\ \delta(\pi_b^a - \delta_b^a \pi_0^0) = (\pi_b^c - \delta_b^c \pi_0^0) \wedge (\pi_c^a - \delta_c^a \pi_0^0). \end{cases} \quad (95)$$

Equations (95) are the structure equations of the group G_2 defining the connection γ_2 on the hypersurface V^{n-1} . It follows from (95) that the group G_2 is the direct product of the group $\mathbf{R}^+$ of homotheties ($\mathbf{R}^+$ is the multiplicative group

of positive real numbers), and the general linear group $GL(n-2, \mathbf{R})$ over the field of real numbers:

$$G_2 = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{GL}(n-2, \mathbf{R}).$$

It follows from equations (48) that the curvature forms of the connection γ_2 can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_1^1 = \omega_0^a \wedge \omega_a^0 + \omega_1^a \wedge \omega_a^1 + C_{1kl}^1 \omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \\ \Omega_b^a = \omega_b^0 \wedge \omega_a^0 + \omega_b^1 \wedge \omega_a^1 + \omega_b^n \wedge \omega_n^a + g_{bc} g^{ae} \omega_0^c \wedge \omega_e^0 - \delta_b^a \omega_0^c \wedge \omega_c^0 + C_{bkl}^a \omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \end{cases}$$

where $a, b = 2, \dots, n-1$; $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Substituting the values (77) of the forms ω_a^0 , ω_a^1 , and $\omega_n^a = g^{ab} \omega_b^1$ into these expressions and using (42), we find the following values of the curvature 2-forms Ω_1^1 and Ω_b^a :

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_1^1 = (2C_{11a}^1 - \sigma_a - h_a^c \tau_c) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^a + (\sigma_{ab} + h_a^c \tau_{cb} + C_{1ab}^1) \omega^a \wedge \omega^b, \\ \Omega_b^a = (\delta_b^a \sigma_c + \delta_c^a \sigma_b - g_{bc} \sigma^a + h_c^a \tau_b - h_{bc} \tau^a + 2C_{b1c}^a) \omega^1 \wedge \omega^c, \\ \quad + (\delta_e^a \sigma_{bc} + g_{bc} \sigma_e^a + h_e^a \tau_{bc} + h_{bc} \tau_e^a - \delta_b^a \sigma_{ce} + C_{bce}^a) \omega^c \wedge \omega^e, \end{cases} \quad (96)$$

where $\sigma^a = g^{ab} \sigma_b$, $\tau^a = g^{ab} \tau_b$, $\sigma_b^a = g^{ac} \sigma_{cb}$, and $\tau_b^a = g^{ac} \tau_{cb}$. It follows that the components of the curvature tensor of the connection γ_2 are determined by the following formulas:

$$\begin{cases} R_{11a}^1 = 2C_{11a}^1 - \sigma_a - h_a^c \tau_c, \\ R_{1ab}^1 = \sigma_{[ab]} + h_{[a}^c \tau_{|c|b]} + C_{1ab}^1, \\ R_{b1c}^a = \delta_b^a \sigma_c + \delta_c^a \sigma_b - g_{bc} \sigma^a + h_c^a \tau_b - h_{bc} \tau^a + 2C_{b1c}^a, \\ R_{bce}^a = \delta_{[e}^a \sigma_{|b|c]} + g_{[b|c]} \sigma_{e]}^a + h_{[e}^a \tau_{|b|c]} + h_{b[c} \tau_{e]}^a - \delta_b^a \sigma_{[ce]} + C_{bce}^a. \end{cases} \quad (97)$$

References

- [AG 93] Akivis, M. A., and V. V. Goldberg, *Projective differential geometry of submanifolds*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993, xi+364 pp.
- [AG 96] Akivis, M.A., and V. V. Goldberg, *Conformal differential geometry and its generalizations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1996, xiii+383 pp.
- [AG 97] Akivis, M.A., and V. V. Goldberg, *On conformal invariance of isotropic geodesics*, Webs and Quasigroups, 1996/1997, Tver St. Univ., Tver, 3–24.
- [AG 98a] Akivis, M.A., and V. V. Goldberg, *On geometry of hypersurfaces of pseudoconformal spaces of Lorentzian signature*, J. Geom. Phys. **26** (1998), no. 1–2, 112–126.
- [AG 98b] Akivis, M.A., and V. V. Goldberg, *Singular points of lightlike hypersurfaces of the de Sitter space*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), **63** (77) (1998), 81–101.

- [AG 98c] Akivis, M.A., and V. V. Goldberg, *The geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces of the de Sitter space*, Acta Appl. Math., 1999 (to appear).
- [Bo 72] Bonnor, W. B. *Null hypersurfaces in Minkowski space-time*, Tensor, N. S., **24** (1972), 329–345.
- [BCGGG 91] Bryant, R. L., S. S. Chern, R. B. Gardner, H. L. Goldsmith, and P. A. Griffiths, *Exterior differential systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, vii+475 pp.
- [Bu 12] Burali-Forti, C., *Fondamenti per la geometria differenziale su di una superficie col metodo vettoriale generale*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **33** (1912), 1–40.
- [Car 23] Cartan, É., *Les espaces à connexion conforme*, Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. **2** (1923), 171–221; *Œuvres complètes: Partie III, Divers, géométrie, différentielle*, vols. 1–2, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1955, pp. 747–797.
- [Cas 50] Casanova, G: *La notion de pôle harmonique*, Rev. math. spec. **65** (1950), no. 6, 437–440.
- [Ch 83] Chandrasekhar, S., *The mathematical theory of black holes*, Clarendon Press, Oxford & Oxford University Press, New York, 1983, xxii+646 pp.
- [DB 96] Duggal, K. L., and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds and applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Amsterdam, 1996, 308 pp.
- [KN 63] Kobayashi, S., and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, vol 1. Wiley–Interscience, New York, 1963, xi+329 pp.
- [Ku 96] Kupeli, D. N., *Singular semi-Riemannian geometry*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996, x+177 pp.
- [La 53] Laptev, G. F., *Differential geometry of imbedded manifolds. Group-theoretic method of differential geometry investigations*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. **2** (1953), 275–382 (Russian).
- [MTW 73] Misner, C. W., K. S. Thorpe, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Freeman, San Francisco, 1973.
- [No 76] Norden, A. P., *Affinely connected spaces*, 2d ed., Izdat. “Nauka”, Moscow, 1976, 432 pp.
- [ON 83] O’Neill, B., *Semi-Riemannian geometry. With applications to relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983, xiii+468 pp.

Authors' addresses:

M.A. Akivis
Department of Mathematics
Jerusalem College of Technology
– Mahon Lev, P. O. B. 16031
Jerusalem 91160, Israel

V.V. Goldberg
Department of Mathematics
New Jersey Institute of Technology
University Heights
Newark, NJ 07102, U.S.A.

E-mail address: akivis@avoda.jct.ac.il E-mail address: vlgold@numerics.njit.edu